

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

State of Washington; Appropriations; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jillian Legnos, Hartford + 1 (617) 530 8243; jillian.legnos@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Oscar Padilla, Dallas + 1 (214) 871 1405; oscar.padilla@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

State of Washington; Appropriations; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$707.5 mil various purp GO bnds ser 2023A due 08/01/2047

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	New
-------------------------	------------	-----

US\$140.13 mil GO bnds (Taxable) ser 2023T due 08/01/2029

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	New
-------------------------	------------	-----

Washington GO

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	------------	----------

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to the State of Washington's \$707.500 million series 2023A various-purpose general obligation (GO) bonds and \$140.130 million series 2023T (taxable) GO bonds.

At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+' long-term and underlying ratings on Washington's GO and motor vehicle fuel tax debt outstanding, and its 'AA' rating on the state's appropriation-backed debt outstanding. We rate the state's appropriation-backed debt obligations one notch lower than our rating on Washington to reflect the service contract and lease payments appropriated by the state legislature for the bonds.

The outlook on all ratings is stable.

Our rating on the state's series 2023A and series 2023T GO bonds reflects Washington's full faith, credit, and taxing powers.

Credit overview

Washington's credit profile is characterized by strong fiscal management practices, including strong forecasting, a history of timely budget adjustments adapting to changes in forecasts, and a demonstrated commitment to rebuilding reserves following withdrawals in economic downturns. While we anticipate Washington's debt profile will remain relatively high, we believe it is manageable, given the state's comparatively low pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities.

Washington's enacted biennial budget (2021-2023) totaling \$64.1 billion, as supplemented, for the state's near general fund accounts (general fund, education legacy trust account, opportunity pathways account, and workforce education investment account), represents an increase of \$5.1 billion (8.7%) over the initial budget and \$11.6 billion (19.7%) over the preceding biennial budget. Key spending priorities include initiatives related to homelessness, poverty, and environmental issues as well as additional education spending related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The supplemental budget was supported by Washington's revised February 2022 revenue forecast (conducted by the Economic and Revenue Forecast Council [ERFC]) totaling \$60.2 billion and incorporated significant revenue growth, in our view, of

10.7% over the March 2021 forecast used for the initial biennium budget. The supplemental budget covers the \$3.4 billion revenue gap by using beginning fund balance.

The ERFC's June revenue forecast raised general fund revenue projections by nearly 2.4% for the current biennium and slightly less than 1.0% for the subsequent biennium. As with the February forecast, officials note that elevated revenues are due in part to stronger-than-expected state taxable activity including retail sales and use taxes and business and occupation taxes. Washington's general fund revenue collections are running ahead \$590 million, or 6.4% higher than the ERFC's February 2022 forecast, through June 10, 2022.

The state's budget stabilization account (BSA) began fiscal 2022 essentially empty following a withdrawal in fiscal 2021 made to help manage Washington's budget. However, the state maintained a strong ending near general fund (general fund, education legacy trust account, opportunity pathways account, and workforce education investment account) balance of \$4.2 billion, which we view as a strong 14.3% of annual near general fund expenditures that offsets the lowered BSA balance. The enacted supplemental budget for the 2021-2023 biennium anticipates \$587 million in deposits to the BSA by the end of the biennium. We consider the projected \$608 million balance to be comparatively low at 1.8% of annual near general fund expenditures for fiscal 2023. We note, however, that the state created a new reserve account, the Washington Rescue Plan Transition Account (WRPTA), which is projected to have a balance of \$2.1 billion at the end of the current biennium. On a combined basis, these BSA and the WRPTA reserve accounts total \$2.7 billion or a good near 8% of annual near general fund expenditures for fiscal 2023. With the June revised revenue forecast, the ending near general fund balance of nearly \$1.8 billion further bumps reserves to about \$4.5 billion or almost 13% of expenditures.

Historically, the state's lack of a formal policy for its budget reserve level has allowed low balances to persist through protracted periods of economic and revenue softness. During expansionary phases of the economic cycle, however, Washington consistently returns operating surpluses and good budgetary reserve positions. We view the state's commitment to rebuilding the reserve account as a positive credit factor.

Beginning with the February forecast, the recently imposed capital gains taxes in the education legacy trust account was included, which the state considers to be a near general fund. We understand the ongoing litigation regarding this new tax could potentially halt collections at some point in the future. If this scenario were to occur, we believe it could somewhat affect Washington's structural balance, given the inclusion of capital gains revenue in current forecasting. However, we believe the impact of a repeal of the capital gains tax is minimal, at 0.8% of projected near general fund revenues in each of fiscal years 2023, 2024, and 2025. In addition, we expect the state's history of strong fiscal management will likely insulate Washington's credit profile from this budgetary pressure, based on current forecasting, should it arise.

The ratings reflect our view of Washington's:

- Sales tax-based revenue structure, which has demonstrated less sensitivity to economic cycles than income tax-reliant states;
- Strong financial policies and practices, including statutory provisions requiring that the state's biennial budget and projected subsequent two fiscal years' spending plans be balanced, which is key, given increasing expenditure pressures;

- High cost of housing, especially in key economic centers that could impede long-term growth prospects; and
- Moderately high debt burden across several measures, but low unfunded retirement liabilities.

The stable outlook reflects our view that the state's strong budgetary management and forecasting practices help insulate the rating on Washington from budgetary pressures. The state's legal requirements to enact budgets that are balanced—not only for the current biennium, but subsequent biennia—help provide a sustainable framework to facilitate structural balance throughout economic cycles. While we expect that significant upward pressure on spending originating in legal- and voter-approved mandates over time will remain a soft point in the state's credit profile, we believe Washington's ability to enact budgets that are balanced—not only for the current biennium, but also project balance through the following biennium—helps facilitate a structural approach.

Based on the analytic factors we evaluate for states, we assigned a composite score for Washington of '1.7' on a four-point scale, whereby '1.0' is the strongest and '4.0' is the weakest.

Environmental, social, and governance

ESG credit indicators: E-2, S-2, G-2

In our opinion, Washington's environmental risks are neutral to our overall credit analysis. Although the state faces a combination of exposures from rising sea levels along its vast coastline and risk of wildfires in its expansive forests, we believe these risks are mitigated by Washington's planning and practices. The state, which has more than 3,000 miles of coastline, derives much of its economic activity from the Puget Sound region, which includes Seattle, Olympia, Tacoma, and Bremerton. Specifically, S&P Global Market Intelligence estimates half of the state's population and jobs are based in the area. Other areas of the state have experienced drought conditions for at least part of the year over the past decade, and officials expect dry conditions in summer months will likely persist in the decades ahead, contributing to wildfire risk. Washington reports it has begun preparing for a changing climate by integrating consideration of such changes into decision-making; multiple state agencies have been tasked with studying the impacts of climate change on their areas of focus, and the ERFC prepares a climate study on an annual basis. The state's legislature has passed several bills in recent years addressing climate change, including approving programs to reduce energy emissions.

We view Washington's social and governance risks as credit neutral.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower our rating on Washington if, in the face of budgetary pressure, lawmakers delay taking corrective action in addressing revenue shortfalls or rely extensively on one-time solutions to remediate budget gaps. In our view, budgetary pressure could arise from the newly enacted capital gains tax's legal challenges or inherent cyclicity, job losses in the state's aviation industry, or diminished flexibility stemming from maintenance of various voter-approved spending initiatives or court actions (such as increases to education funding following the resolution of the McCleary decision), among others. State policymakers' response—whether timely or structurally oriented—will likely dictate any effect on our rating on Washington. We could also lower the rating if the state fails to replenish its BSA in a timely manner, or if we believe the state lacks a realistic plan to rebuild its reserve profile.

Upside scenario

Although unexpected during our outlook horizon, we could raise the rating if growth in Washington's debt levels were to moderate, alongside sustainable growth in revenue that keeps pace with both the state's underlying economic growth rates and mandated costs originating from voter-approved initiatives that, to a degree, limit the state's budgetary flexibility.

Credit Opinion

For more information on Washington, see our analysis published Jan. 25, 2022, on RatingsDirect.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

Ratings Detail (As Of July 11, 2022)		
State of Washington various purp GO bnds ser R-2022C due 07/01/2037		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
State of Washington var purp GO rfdg bnds ser R-2021C due 08/01/2036		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
State of Washington MVFT GO rfdg bnds ser R-2022D due 07/01/2042		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
State of Washington MVFT/VRF GO bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of July 11, 2022) (cont.)		
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of July 11, 2022) (cont.)		
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of July 11, 2022) (cont.)

Washington GO (AMBAC)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO (MBIA)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO (MBIA) (National)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Washington GO (SYNCORA GTY)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
FYI Properties, Washington		
State of Washington, Washington		
FYI Properties (Washington) APPROP		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
State of Washington, Washington		
State of Washington, Washington		
Washington St Toll Facilities, Washington		
Washington GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.