

### Summary:

## Washington; Appropriations

#### Primary Credit Analyst:

Chris Morgan, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5032; [chris\\_morgan@standardandpoors.com](mailto:chris_morgan@standardandpoors.com)

#### Secondary Credit Analyst:

Gabriel Petek, CFA, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5042; [gabriel\\_petek@standardandpoors.com](mailto:gabriel_petek@standardandpoors.com)

### Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

## Summary:

# Washington; Appropriations

### Credit Profile

US\$132.74 mil certs of part ser 2010A due 07/01/2017

*Long Term Rating*

AA/Stable

New

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA' long-term rating, with a stable outlook, to the State of Washington's series 2010A refunding certificates of participation (COPs). In addition, we affirmed our 'AA' rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the state's outstanding appropriation obligations.

The key credit factors of this obligation, in our opinion, include the state's:

- Commitment to make rental payments for the facility, subject to state appropriation;
- Rental payments that are not subject to abatement; and
- General creditworthiness.

The COPs represent undivided proportionate interests in rental payments made by the state under a master financing lease and its addendum. There is no debt service reserve fund, and debt service is due Jan. 1 and July 1 of each year, the former of which is at least six months after the end of the state's fiscal year. Although the term lease agreement corresponds to the life of the COPs, payments are subject to appropriation and executive order of the governor. The state legislature and the state finance committee have approved the lease financing.

We understand that proceeds will prepay and refund all current series 1999 certificates to achieve virtually level interest rate savings.

Factors influencing our opinion of the state's general creditworthiness include its:

- Relatively well-educated workforce, with what we consider good income indicators;
- A sales-tax-focused revenue structure that is subject to economic cycles but not to the degree experienced by states that rely primarily on personal and corporate income taxes;
- Historically good year-end reserve balances, including a constitutional rainy-day account (RDA), which have helped moderate the scale of corrective actions needed to balance its budgets; and
- Strong financial policies and practices.

Somewhat offsetting these strengths, in our view, are a weakening revenue forecast since the adoption of the original biennial budget covering fiscals 2010 and 2011 and what we expect will be more difficult requirements to maintain what we consider adequate available balances.

The state has experienced weakened employment conditions along with the rest of the country, but its status as one of the most export-driven states (due in part to the prominence of agricultural and aerospace production) may help it recover faster than the nation as a whole if global growth continues to outpace U.S. growth. We have observed a leveling-off in the state's seasonally adjusted employment levels since March 2009, and its preliminary November

2009 monthly unemployment rate, at 9.2%, remained nearly an entire percentage point below the 10% national average. The state's independent economist, who believes that the recession ended for the state in the third quarter of 2009, forecasts that growth will be weak into 2011. We also note that the state economist believes that consumer spending will be a key influence on the speed of economic recovery -- and state revenues -- in the current biennium because households' concerns over employment security appear to be pushing consumer spending below levels that other economic indicators suggest. We view other, typically more stable, economic indicators as favorable for the state, including what we consider good median household effective buying income of 109% of the U.S. level and a relatively highly educated population, with 30.7% of residents aged 25 and above holding a bachelor's or more advanced degree, compared with 27.7% nationwide.

On a generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) basis, the state has maintained what we consider good available reserves in recent years, but its most recent revenue forecast suggests that it must continue revising its budgets to avoid erosion of its financial position during the fiscal 2010-11 biennium. During the five fiscal years ended 2008, generally a period of economic expansion, the state's unreserved general fund balance on a GAAP basis ranged from 5.3% of expenditures to 6.9%, and most recently stood at 5.3%, or \$1.8 billion. However, the state has continuously revised down its revenue forecasts since the passage of the fiscal 2010-11 biennial budget, and the most recent forecast -- in November, falling before the legislative session that opens this month -- spurred the governor to propose reducing expenditures by \$1.6 billion, or about 5%, and publicly indicate a desire to identify tax increases to moderate such cuts. These proposed cuts, which would accompany one-time actions such as the use of the state's RDA and transfers from other funds, add to \$9 billion in cuts to baseline expenditures during fiscals 2009-2011 (the current biennium and the prior fiscal year) and the full use of remaining federal grants under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. By the end of fiscal 2011, the state's financial management office projects that the state would hold \$311 million in its general fund, or what we consider to be an adequate approximately 1.43% of annual expenditures, but will have depleted its RDA, which is automatically funded with 1% of general fund revenues but can be tapped under certain circumstances.

Simplifying this round of budget revisions, in our opinion, was voters' rejection of Initiative 1033, a citizen-proposed revision to state law that would have required the state to transfer general fund revenues (excluding federal grants) above the sum of the percentage growth in population and consumer prices to a separate account, and distribute the balance to taxpayers in the subsequent calendar year. We also understand that the legislature could by simple majority suspend voter-approved statutes that create hurdles such as a legislative supermajority and a public vote should it decide to change its revenue structure. We expect that the legislature will adopt budget revisions before the scheduled conclusion of its session in March 2010.

We consider the state's management practices "strong" under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology. An FMA of "strong" indicates that practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

The state's direct tax-supported debt burden (GO and appropriation-backed) is moderate, in our opinion, at \$2,426 per capita. However, as a percentage of personal income it remains above average compared with that of other states, at 5.9%. The pro forma 2010 GO debt service carrying charge represents what we view as a low 4.6% of fiscal 2008 governmental expenditures. Management expects the state to issue an aggregate of \$2.6 billion in GO debt in April-June 2010 and an additional \$60 million in appropriation obligations by June 2010. Including these issuances, we expect total per capita debt to increase to what we consider a still-moderate approximately \$2,800 per capita. As opposed to states that depend on income tax revenues for operations, the state has not needed to borrow for cash flow needs since 1983, and currently maintains \$3 billion of available cash, or what we consider a very

strong roughly 20% of annual general fund expenditures.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects what we view as strong financial management and continuing evidence that the state is willing to make timely and proactive budget amendments as needed to maintain budgetary balance. We believe that automatic RDA set-asides and federal grants have absorbed much of the revenue effects of the recession and what appears to be subsequent weak growth. Moreover, the governor's latest proposal appears to allow the state to maintain what we consider to be adequate reserves at the end of the biennium. But we also believe that successive rounds of expenditure reductions and the current economic climate make further spending cuts or tax increases more difficult, and that credit quality could come under pressure if the state is unable to adjust its budget in response to revenue recovery that is weaker than currently forecast.

## Related Research

- USPF Criteria: Key General Obligation Ratio Credit Ranges – Analysis Vs. Reality, April 2, 2008
- USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007

<b>Ratings Detail</b> (As Of January 7, 2010)		
Washington certs of part (Washington St Dept of Gen Admin Tacoma Co-Location Proj) dtd 12/01/1996		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Washington cert of part ser 1999		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Washington (St Office Bldg Proj - Dept of Ecology) ser 91 A 99		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Washington certs of part rfdg (Dept of Ecology St Office Bldg Proj) ser RE-2003-B</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Washington certs of part ser 1999</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Washington Dept of Ecology rfdg certs part (State Office Bldg Proj) ser 2001</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Aberdeen, Washington</b>		
Washington		
Aberdeen (Washington) spl rfdg rev bnds (Stafford Creek Corrections Ctr) ser 2009		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Aberdeen spec rev bnds (Stafford Creek Corrections Ctr Proj) ser 1998 dtd 11/01/1998 due 11/01/1999-2015 2018</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>FYI Properties, Washington</b>		
Washington		
FYI Properties (Washington) Lease rev bnds (State Of Washington Dis Proj) ser 2009		

<b>Ratings Detail (As Of January 7, 2010) (cont.)</b>		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal subscribers at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) and RatingsDirect subscribers at [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2010 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this information may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. S&P, its affiliates, and/or their third-party providers have exclusive proprietary rights in the information, including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, provided herein. This information shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. Neither S&P, nor its affiliates, nor their third-party providers guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of any information. S&P, its affiliates or their third-party providers and their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, or for the results obtained from the use of such information. S&P, ITS AFFILIATES AND THEIR THIRD-PARTY PROVIDERS DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. In no event shall S&P, its affiliates or their third-party providers and their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the information contained herein even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

The ratings and credit-related analyses of S&P and its affiliates and the observations contained herein are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update any information following publication. Users of the information contained herein should not rely on any of it in making any investment decision. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of each of these activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P's Ratings Services business may receive compensation for its ratings and credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge) and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

Any Passwords/user IDs issued by S&P to users are single user-dedicated and may ONLY be used by the individual to whom they have been assigned. No sharing of passwords/user IDs and no simultaneous access via the same password/user ID is permitted. To reprint, translate, or use the data or information other than as provided herein, contact Client Services, 55 Water Street, New York, NY 10041; (1)212.438.7280 or by e-mail to: [research\\_request@standardandpoors.com](mailto:research_request@standardandpoors.com).

Copyright © 1994-2010 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All Rights Reserved.