

## CREDIT OPINION

27 July 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

### Contacts

**Kenneth Kurtz** 415-274-1737  
*Senior Vice President*  
 kenneth.kurtz@moodys.com

**Nicholas Samuels** 212-553-7121  
*VP-Sr Credit Officer*  
 nicholas.samuels@moodys.com

## Washington (State of)

New Issue - Moody's assigns Aa2 to \$66M Washington State COPs 2016B&C; outlook stable


### Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned Aa2 ratings to the State of Washington's Certificates of Participation, Series 2016B (State and Local Agency Real and Personal Property) and Refunding Series 2016C (Taxable State Agency Real Property), expected to be issued in the amounts of \$62.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Following the issuance of these COPs, the state will have approximately \$890 million similarly secured COPs outstanding, all rated Aa2.

The Aa2 rating on the COPs, one notch below the Aa1 rating on the state's general obligation bonds, reflects the limited subject-to-appropriation nature of the state's payment obligations, active administration of the financing program by the state treasurer's office, and the state's established track record of making appropriation-backed payments under a variety of financing programs.

Washington's Aa1 general obligation rating incorporates the state's sound management practices such as its quarterly consensus revenue forecasting process, multi-year revenue and expenditure projections, timely budget adoption, and demonstrated willingness to address budget shortfalls. The rating also reflects an economy that is growing and expected to out-perform the nation over the long term. Revenue trends are positive, supported by employment gains and improvement in the state's housing market, and available reserves are increasing. These strengths are tempered by education spending mandates, exposure to the cyclical aerospace industry and other export markets, and above average debt ratios. Frequent voter initiative activity adds budget challenges although the state legislature has a history of responding effectively to maintain budget balance.

Exhibit 1

**Washington's Debt Levels Exceed 50-State Medians**

Source: Moody's Investors Service US state debt medians report.

**Credit Strengths**

- » Sound institutionalized governance practices
- » Strong economic and demographic trends
- » Satisfactory overall liquidity levels maintained in pooled resources
- » Healthy pension funding levels and modest retiree health insurance liability
- » Increasing available reserves

**Credit Challenges**

- » Significant future increases required in K-12 education funding
- » Exposure to cyclical commercial aerospace industry and export market
- » Above-average debt ratios
- » Voter initiative activity adding element of fiscal uncertainty

**Rating Outlook**

Washington's rating outlook is stable, reflecting economic gains that are boosting revenues, an improving reserve position, and budget balancing solutions that are largely recurring. We expect that the state will continue to address any budget gaps that emerge, as it has in the past, and, over time, absorb the substantial increase in mandated basic education funding. Economic concentration in some industries that are historically volatile poses longer-term credit risk.

**Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade**

- » Sustained trend of structural budget balance, combined maintenance of strong reserve levels
- » Economic expansion and improved industry diversification

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

- » Reduction of debt ratios to levels closer to Moody's 50-state medians

## Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Employment erosion
- » Protracted structural budget imbalance
- » Increased reliance on one-time budget solutions
- » Deterioration of the state's cash position

## Key Indicators

Exhibit 2

### Washington Has Rebuilt Reserves Since the Recession

Washington	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Operating Fund Revenues (000s)	16,252,763	16,099,012	17,067,211	17,765,292	18,789,958
Balances as % of Operating Fund Revenues	-0.7%	0.8%	2.4%	4.2%	7.9%
Net Tax-Supported Debt (000s)	17,677,697	19,425,533	20,386,128	20,422,165	19,800,626
Net Tax-Supported Debt/Personal Income	6.0%	6.4%	6.4%	6.2%	5.7%
Net Tax-Supported Debt/Personal Income 50 State Median	2.8%	2.8%	2.6%	2.5%	2.5%
Debt/All Governmental Funds Revenue	50.6%	56.4%	56.2%	52.7%	47.7%
Debt/All Governmental Funds Revenue 50 State Median*	22.7%	24.3%	23.8%	23.0%	20.4%
Adjusted Net Pension Liability/All Govt Funds Revenue	32.7%	25.2%	43.5%	56.7%	N/A
Adjusted Net Pension Liability/All Govt Funds Revenue 50 State Median	48.7%	63.9%	60.3%	59.2%	N/A
Total Non-Farm Employment Change (CY)	1.3%	1.6%	4.3%	2.8%	3.3%
Per Capita Income as a % of US (CY)	105.5%	107.0%	106.8%	107.7%	107.3%

\*The 2015 governmental fund median number uses 2014 numbers for states that have not yet released their FY2015 audit

Source: State audited financial statements with Moody's Investors Service adjustments; Moody's Investors Service state debt and pension medians reports; US Bureau of Labor Statistics; US Bureau of Economic Analysis.

## Recent Developments

In its latest revenue forecast, released February 17, the state decreased its forecast of General Fund revenues for the 2015-17 biennium by \$67 million, compared to the November forecast cited in the Detailed Rating Considerations, reflecting an expectation of slower economic growth for the US and the state.

## Detailed Rating Considerations

### Economy

Washington State benefits from a high-value-added, but often cyclical, commercial aircraft industry; a fast growing information technology industry; and above average per capita income and household wealth. Significant agriculture and timber sectors are vulnerable to volatile commodity prices and export demand. First quarter 2016 exports were down 15.6% from the first quarter of 2015. Transportation equipment exports, primarily aircraft manufactured by [The Boeing Company](#) (A2 stable), declined 17.4%. Exports other than transportation equipment declined by 12.8%. The state's unemployment rate has remained relatively stable over the past year, slightly above the US rate. The state's unemployment rate was 5.8% in April 2016, compared to 5.6% for the state one year earlier and 5.0% for the US in April 2016. The state's economic forecasts expect continued although slightly slower economic growth, with increasing employment in most sectors.

### Finances and Liquidity

Driven by economic growth, state revenues have grown steadily since the recession. On a GAAP basis, the state's non-federal operating fund revenues grew at a compound annual rate of 5.1% from fiscal 2010 through 2015. In its June forecast, the state projects that General Fund revenues for the 2015-17 biennium will be \$37.431 billion, an increase of 11.2% from the 2013-15 biennium and an increase of \$294 million from the February forecast.

Due to rising revenues and expenditure controls, reserves, which fell to negative levels during the recession, have been gradually rebuilt to pre-recession levels. On a GAAP basis, available reserves, which consist of Unassigned General Fund Balance plus the state's Budget Stabilization Account increased from a negative \$107 million (0.7% of revenues) at the end of fiscal 2011 to a positive \$1,477 million (7.9% of revenues) at the end of fiscal 2015. In the February forecast, the state projects that total budget-basis reserves will decline slightly from \$1,524 million at the end of fiscal 2015 to \$1,487 million at the end of the 2015-2017 biennium, including \$701 million in the Budget Stabilization Account.

The enacted budget for the 2015-17 biennium included a significant increase in K-12 education funding in response to the State Supreme Court's ruling in the "McCleary" case. The budget also includes additional funding for higher education. In conjunction with the approval of the budget, the legislature suspended for three years Initiative 1351 which would have required additional increases in K-12 funding. Subsequent to the adoption of the 2015-17 budget, the Supreme Court ruled that the state had still not complied fully with its ruling in the McCleary case and imposed a fine on the state of \$100,000 per day. The legislature has not yet responded to the court's latest ruling.

#### LIQUIDITY

The state's overall liquidity is sound and has improved notably over the last four years with the improvement in GAAP-basis financial position. Average daily balances in the Treasury and Treasurer's Trust Fund were \$4.4 billion for the 12 months from January through December 2015. The state does not issue cash flow notes.

#### Debt and Pensions

##### DEBT STRUCTURE

Washington's debt consists primarily of general obligation bonds. Moody's calculation of net tax-supported debt includes general obligation bonds additionally secured by motor vehicle fuel taxes but excludes general obligation bonds which are paid from vehicle tolls. The state's debt ratios are more than twice [Moody's 2016 50-State Medians](#); net tax-supported debt as a percentage of personal income is 5.7%, compared with Moody's median of 2.5%. Washington's net tax-supported debt per capita (\$2,522) is more than twice the national median of \$1,025. Annual debt service costs relative to revenue available for debt service (Moody's calculation) was also relatively high at 7.0% in fiscal 2015 versus a median of 4.3%.

##### DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The state has no variable rate debt and no debt-related derivatives.

##### PENSIONS AND OPEB

While debt ratios are above average, the state's aggregate pension funding is relatively strong. Based on the Washington's fiscal 2013 pension data, Moody's has calculated that the overall retirement systems' adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) was 43.5% of governmental revenues, well below the 50-state median of 60.3%. Other pension ratios such as ANPL to personal income, GDP, and population are similarly below the medians.

In Washington, retiree health benefits are set as part of the biennial budget process and funded on a pay-as-you-go-basis. As of January 1, 2015, the most recent date for which a full valuation was prepared, the actuarial accrued liability attributable to the state (after retiree contributions) was \$5.274 billion. The annual required contribution (ARC) for fiscal year 2014 was \$354 million, about 1.4% of the state's total governmental revenues, excluding federal aid.

#### Governance

The state has strong governance practices including a quarterly consensus revenue forecasting process, multi-year revenue and expenditure projections, timely budget adoption, and a demonstrated willingness to address budget shortfalls. Although frequent voter initiative activity can create budget challenges, the state legislature has broad powers to amend or suspend voter-approved initiatives.

#### Legal Security

The Series 2016B COPs are secured by and expected to be paid from payments made by participating agencies including: (1) lease payments made by participating state and local agencies for real property projects, and (2) installment purchase payments made by participating state and local agencies for personal property. The Refunding Series 2016C (Taxable) COPs are secured by and expected to be paid from lease payments made by state agencies for real property.

Payments made by the state agencies are subject to appropriation by the legislature and executive order reduction by the governor. The state has never failed to make needed appropriations to meet the payment obligations related to its COPs. Payments made by the local agencies are secured by the full faith and credit of the local agencies, effectively general obligation, limited tax obligations. In the event any local agency fails to make its scheduled payment, the state treasurer is obligated to make the payment on behalf of the local agency using state funds; such state payments, if necessary, are subject to appropriation by the legislature and executive order reduction by the governor. The treasurer is further obligated to withhold an amount equal to the payment advance from the local agency's share of state aid, to the extent legally permissible. No local agency has ever failed to make a payment obligation related to state-issued COPs.

## Use of Proceeds

Proceeds of the Series 2016B COPs will be used to pay the costs of acquisition and construction of real and personal property for the benefit of various state and local agencies, and to refund outstanding COPs for debt service savings. Proceeds of the Refunding Series 2016C (Taxable) COPs will be used to refund outstanding COPs for debt service savings.

## Obligor Profile

Washington is the thirteenth largest state by population, at 6.9 million. Its state gross domestic product is fourteenth largest. The population is relatively wealthy, with per capita personal income equal to 107.3% of the US level and a poverty rate in the bottom third among states.

## Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was Lease, Appropriation, Moral Obligation and Comparable Debt of US State and Local Governments published in July 2016. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for a copy of this methodology.

## Ratings

Exhibit 3

### Washington (State of)

Issue	Rating
Certificates of Participation, Series 2016B (State and Local Agency Real and Personal Property)	Aa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$62,360,000
Expected Sale Date	08/09/2016
Rating Description	Lease Rental: Appropriation
Certificates of Participation, Refunding Series 2016C (Taxable) (State Agency Real Property)	Aa2
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$3,235,000
Expected Sale Date	08/09/2016
Rating Description	Lease Rental: Appropriation

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1036064