

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS Aa1 RATING AND STABLE OUTLOOK TO STATE OF WASHINGTON'S \$720.4 MILLION GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

Global Credit Research - 16 Jul 2010

APPROXIMATELY \$16 BILLION OF PARITY DEBT OUTSTANDING

State
WA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A	Aa1
Sale Amount	\$350,900,000
Expected Sale Date	07/28/10
Rating Description	General Obligation
 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011T (Taxable)	 Aa1
Sale Amount	\$118,300,000
Expected Sale Date	07/28/10
Rating Description	General Obligation
 Various Purpose General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series R-2011A	 Aa1
Sale Amount	\$251,200,000
Expected Sale Date	07/28/10
Rating Description	General Obligation

Opinion

NEW YORK, Jul 16, 2010 -- Moody's Investors Service has assigned Aa1 ratings with a stable outlook to the State of Washington's \$350.9 million Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A, \$118.3 million General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011T (Taxable) and \$251.2 million Various Purpose General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series R-2011A. The Aa1 rating incorporates Washington's strong management tools such as its quarterly consensus revenue forecasting process and conservative budgetary controls; absence of liquidity strain; strong demographic trends that will help propel the economic recovery once it takes hold; exposure to the cyclical aerospace industry; above average debt ratios; and frequent voter initiative activity that introduces budget challenges.

As a state with heavy dependence on sales tax receipts and no personal income tax, Washington's revenues have been hit hard by the negative impact of the recession on consumer confidence. Last year, the state closed a sizeable combined budget gap of \$9 billion as it ended fiscal year 2009 and enacted its 2009-2011 biennial budget. Over the past year, revenues continued to underperform and spending pressures rose, largely due to increased demand for social services. As a result, the state faced another large budget shortfall of approximately \$2.8 billion for the 2009-2011 biennium, representing nearly 10% of projected general fund expenditures. In April, a supplemental budget was adopted to close the gap with a combination of new recurring revenues, ongoing expenditure savings, and significant one-time resources.

The bonds will be sold on July 28th. Proceeds of the Series 2011A and 2011T bonds will fund various capital projects. The refunding bonds will refinance a like amount of outstanding Series 2002A, Series 2002B and Series R-2003A bonds for net present value savings estimated at \$18 million spread out over the life of the bonds.

State credit strengths are:

- *Institutionalized conservative budgetary controls.
- *Improved financial flexibility with increased rainy day fund (RDF) levels going into the 2007-2009 recession
- *Strong demographic trends
- *Satisfactory overall liquidity levels despite recessionary stresses

State credit challenges are:

- *Economic weakness and steeper-than-forecast housing downturn drive large consecutive downward revenue revisions.
- *Significant use of one-time actions to balance current biennial budget reduces flexibility to address unexpected revenue shortfalls
- *Exposure to cyclical commercial aerospace industry.
- *Debt ratios above average and likely to increase.
- *Voter initiative activity adds element of fiscal uncertainty.

The following credit discussion is largely reproduced from Moody's New Issue Report published May 13, 2010 in conjunction with the state's issuance of \$1.13 billion Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010F (Taxable Build America Bonds - Direct Pay).

CONSECUTIVE DOWNWARD REVENUE REVISIONS ADD BUDGET CHALLENGES

Washington's cumulative revenue under performance since June 2009 totals a sizeable \$1.8 billion while expenditures have increased by about \$1 billion, largely by increased social services as caseloads grew, particularly for Medicaid. This resulted in a new budget shortfall of approximately \$2.8 billion for the 2009-2011 biennium. The amount is sizeable at almost 10% of projected expenditures for the biennium, based on the adopted supplemental budget. In addition, the gap arose after the state adopted a biennial budget that closed a \$7.7 billion projected imbalance. Altogether, the budget shortfalls for the 2009-2011 biennium total a staggering amount that is roughly one-third of Washington's general fund biennial budget.

In April, the legislature adopted and the governor signed a supplemental budget to address the latest shortfall. Program cuts total \$755 million, including substantial reductions to K-12 (\$125 million), health and human services (\$108 million), and higher education (\$73 million). New permanent as well as temporary revenue enhancements total \$757 million. By narrowing tax preferences and establishing economic nexus standards, the state projects additional ongoing revenues totaling approximately \$300 million. An increase in cigarette and tobacco taxes is expected to bring in \$101 million, also permanent. Approximately \$125 million is estimated from taxes were raised temporarily on beer, soda, candy, and bottled water. In addition, the state's business and occupation tax rate on services was temporarily raised from 1.5% to 1.8%, expected to bring in about \$242 million. The temporary taxes are scheduled to expire on June 20, 2013 although they could be extended by the legislature.

The remaining budget solutions provide substantial one-time resources from the depletion of the rainy day fund and use other fund transfers, together totaling \$690 million, as well as \$618 million in approved or anticipated additional federal stimulus funds, including \$480 million from a 6 month extension of enhanced FMAP funding that has not yet been approved by the US Congress. Washington currently projects that it will end the biennium with a modest General Fund balance of \$253 million (including \$6 million in the rainy day fund), equal to approximately 1.0% of projected expenditures. However, in the event that the FMAP extension does not materialize, management anticipates depleting these reserves, and implementing across the board expenditure reductions to offset any remaining budget gap. Given the one-time actions already incorporated in the enacted budget, including use of rainy day funds, fund balance transfers, and federal stimulus money, the state has reduced flexibility to address continued budget challenges.

Washington still faces forecast risks that include an extended recession that puts further downward pressure on the state's primary revenue source, sales taxes, which are vulnerable to the consumer confidence factors that have hurt the state's revenue underperformance. Despite the weak revenue performance, Washington's liquidity position remains satisfactory with no need to issue short-term notes for cash flow purposes.

REDUCED RESERVES PROJECTED FOLLOWING DOWNWARD REVENUE REVISIONS; CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROVIDES FOR AUTOMATIC RAINY DAY FUND DEPOSITS

Washington's combined available reserves at the end of fiscal year 2009, the end of the 2007-2009 biennium, totaled approximately \$210 million on a GAAP basis, with \$21 million in the RDF and the remainder in unreserved, undesignated General Fund balance. The total amount was relatively modest at about 1.4% of annual general fund revenues, net of federal aid. The 2009-2011 biennial budget includes the required transfer of funds to the RDF but also the depletion of the fund by the end of the biennium to balance the budget.

In November 2007, Washington voters approved a constitutional amendment that permanently established a rainy day fund and provided for annual automatic deposits to the fund amounting to 1% of General Fund revenues, up to a maximum of 10% of General Fund revenues. Washington's emergency reserve (a precursor to the new RDF that was not constitutionally protected) peaked at \$754 million at the end of fiscal 2000, just before the 2001 recession, and was rapidly depleted by fiscal 2004 as the state addressed previous revenue shortfalls. Going into the current recession, Washington had approximately \$980 million in combined available reserves, including \$303 million in the emergency reserve and \$677 million in unreserved, undesignated general fund balance.

SOME SIGNS OF ECONOMIC STABILIZATION AND IMPROVEMENT

Washington's downward revenue revisions over the past year corresponded to continued weakness in the economy. However, there are signs that the state's underlying economy is beginning to stabilize. There have been modest job gains so far this year, although the construction sector continues to decline. In addition, the state's housing market is improving and exports are growing. Moody's expects Washington's economic recovery to parallel that of the nation in the near term, although the non-residential construction sector will remain weak. Over the long term, the state should benefit from its educated work force and industry concentration in well-paying high technology and aerospace jobs.

Washington's unemployment rate in May 2010 was 9.1%, slightly below the national level of 9.7% for the same month. During the recession there were large scale layoffs at both Boeing and for the first time at Microsoft. Of Boeing's nationwide 10,000 expected total layoffs, Washington's total job cuts are estimated at almost 6,000. Boeing's employment reductions in this recession are relatively modest compared with approximately 52,000 layoffs in 2001. For Microsoft, job losses are approximately 2,400 out of a total of 5,000 company-wide. Exports from the state's important aerospace and software publishing industries are expected to contribute to the state's economic recovery although slower national and international economic improvements would affect Washington's economic outlook.

ABOVE-AVERAGE DEBT LEVELS REFLECT GROWTH-RELATED FINANCINGS

Washington's debt ratios are about twice Moody's 50-state median level; net tax-supported debt as a percentage of personal income is 5.3%, compared with Moody's 50-state median of 2.5% in 2010. Despite the significant increase in total debt outstanding during the previous decade, the debt-to-personal income ratio has remained fairly stable due to Washington's strong personal income growth. Even so, at \$2,226, Washington's net-tax supported debt per capita is more than twice the national median of \$936. The state's debt ratios will likely remain high given continued sizeable borrowing plans, especially for transportation purposes.

Washington has managed its debt levels through a combination of statutory and constitutional debt limits, measured by debt service as a percentage of average general state revenues for the preceding three fiscal years. This ratio is limited to 9% by constitution. Last year, the state legislature adopted a bill to align the statutory debt limit with the constitutional limit. This creates a single transparent constraint.

In recent years, Washington substantially increased its transportation infrastructure funding program, which is largely financed through the issuance of motor vehicle fuel tax general obligation bonds. Effective July 1, 2005, the state gas tax increased by 9.5 cents (phased-in over a

four-year period) in order to support the planned issuance of motor vehicle fuel tax bonds. Washington's motor vehicle fuel tax bonds are not subject to the state's debt limitation. The bonds are payable first from the state's excise taxes on motor vehicles and special fuels. The state legislature has agreed to continue to impose those excise taxes in amounts sufficient to pay debt service on the motor vehicle fuel tax general obligation bonds. On or before June 30 of each year, the Senate Finance Committee certifies to the state treasurer the amount required to pay principal of and interest on the bonds in the next fiscal year. The state treasurer is required to withdraw revenues from any general state revenues received in the state treasury and from the motor vehicle fund, as applicable, and deposit in the bond fund on or before each debt service payment date amounts required to pay debt service on the bonds. While the state's gas tax receipts have been negatively affected by the recession, fiscal 2009 coverage of annual debt service on outstanding motor vehicle fuel tax general obligation bonds was satisfactory at about 2.9 times. About one-third of the state's total general obligation debt is payable from motor fuel excise taxes. The state's full faith credit and taxing power are also irrevocably pledged to the payment of the bonds.

While debt ratios are above average, the state's pension funding ratio was 100% funded on a combined basis as of 2008. However, the state budgeted approximately \$449 million in savings in the current biennium from a change in pension actuarial assumptions and calculation methods. Based on an August 2008 valuation released by the State Actuary, Washington's other post-employment benefits (OPEB) liability for state employees is \$4 billion and \$3.5 billion for K-12 employees. Both amounts are largely due to implicit rate subsidies. The annual required contribution (ARC) for fiscal year 2009 was \$331.7 million and \$314.5 million for K-12 employees. In Washington, retiree benefits are set as part of the biennial budget process and funded on a pay-as-you-go-basis. In 2009, the explicit subsidy cost was \$107 million and the implicit cost was \$50.7 million. Now that states are required to disclose actuarially compliant figures, the size of the liabilities relative to one another and their impact on states' financial statements are becoming clearer. As a result, OPEB funding status will become more visible among the many attributes Moody's assesses in the municipal credit rating process.

Washington has about \$131 million in general obligation variable rate demand bonds outstanding, representing about 1% of the state's total debt outstanding. The bonds are remarketed weekly and are supported by a standby bond purchase agreement with Helaba. The state has no interest rate swaps.

Outlook

Washington's rating outlook is stable reflecting Moody's expectation that the state's finances will remain well-managed despite its recent sizeable budget shortfalls although uncertainty surrounding the timing and strength of the economic recovery could pose additional budget challenges. Given the substantial use of one-time actions to balance budget gaps thus far, Washington's reserve levels will likely remain slim over the near term. In addition, considerable out year structural gaps will likely be challenging to resolve. Economic concentration in some industries that are historically volatile poses longer-term credit risk. However, the state demonstrated impressive financial flexibility through the 2001 recession as it accommodated resulting economic and revenue swings and has shown a willingness to curtail spending during this economic cycle.

What would change the rating - UP

*Sustained trend of structural budget balance, plus restoration and maintenance of strong reserve levels.

*Economic expansion and improved industry diversification.

*Reduction of debt ratios to levels closer to Moody's 50-state medians.

What could change the rating - DOWN

*Deeper and longer recession or muted recovery that restrains consumer confidence, leading to prolonged revenue weakness and employment erosion.

*Protracted structural budget imbalance.

*Increased reliance on one-time budget solutions.

*Cash flow narrowing, leading to strained liquidity.

*Failure to adopt plan to cover expenditures once federal fiscal stimulus monies are no longer available

LAST RATING ACTION AND METHODOLOGY

The last rating action was on May 21, 2010, when Moody's assigned a Aa2 rating to the State of Washington's Certificates of Participation, Series 2010B (Pooled State and Local Equipment).

The principal methodology used in rating the State of Washington's General Obligation Bonds Series 2011A, 2011T and R-2011A was Moody's State Rating Methodology, published in October 2004 and available on www.moody.com in the Rating Methodologies sub-directory under the Research & Ratings tab. Other methodologies and factors that may have been considered in the process of rating this issuer can also be found in the Rating Methodologies sub-directory on Moody's website.

Analysts

Lisa Cole
Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Nicole Johnson
Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Edith Behr

Senior Credit Officer
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653



© Copyright 2010, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors including Moody's Assurance Company, Inc. (together, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this Document is by MOODY'S affiliate MOODY'S Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to wholesale clients (within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001). By continuing to access this Document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S and its affiliates that you are, or are accessing the Document as a representative of, a wholesale client and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this Document or its contents to retail clients (within the meaning of section 761G

of the Corporations Act 2001).